SF CAREER SERVICE BOARD

The SF Career Service Board has established a panel to make competitive evaluations of SF employees. The SF Career Service Evaluation Panel uses a Competitive Evaluation Listing to determine by a point system (based on 100 points) the competitive ranking of employees annually. A separate Competitive Evaluation Listing is prepared for each grade containing the names of all employees in the grade. Points are given employees for the following elements:

Performance

An employee is given points for the Overall Fitness Rating on his most current fitness report (40 to 50 for overall rating of "O"; 30 to 39 for "S"; 20 to 29 for "P"; 10 to 19 for "A"). Other fitness reports may be taken into consideration.

Potential

The indicated ability, by reason of education and demonstrated performance, of the employee to assume greater responsibilities and/or duties is rated by points (maximum of 10) based upon the ratings given for potential for each of the four skills (Budget, General Finance, Systems and Audit).

Qualifications

The employee is given points (up to 15) based on his education, training, related non-Agency work experience and language ability.

Flexibility

An employee's capability, personal desire, medical problems, and family situation for rotational assignments, both domestic and foreign, is rated with a maximum of five (5) points given for unlimited flexibility. "Unlimited flexibility" is the employee's capability to be assigned and his sincere willingness to accept any assignment at any time, if the same conditions and personal circumstances which pertain at the time of indication continue. Less points will be given to the degree that there is less actual capability and commitment.

Length of Service

One point is given for each approximate two years' service qualifying toward retirement, not to exceed ten (10) points.

Other Considerations

Up to ten (10) points may be given for demonstrated skills, versatility, experience and unique or special qualities which have not been reflected in the other elements.

The Competitive Evaluation Panel also provides an inventory of personnel showing the kinds and degree of skills employees possess to be used in making position assignments. There are four (4) established skills for the SF Career Service (Budget, General Finance, Audit, and Systems and Procedures.) The employee is given a rating of High, Medium, Low, or None in each skill for the degree of skill demonstrated on the job and the degree of potential he is deemed to have in the skill.

The above Competitive Evaluations and Skills Listings are utilized as a guide by the Office of Finance Support Staff and the Chairman, SF Career Service Board in determining the training, assignment, and promotion of SF employees.

The monthly Career Service Grade Authorization (adjusted to current date) is used in determining the number of promotions that can reasonably be made in each grade. Past experience reflects approximately 50% of the available headroom being used for promotions except in grade GS-13 wherein it has been necessary to make promotions in excess of headroom because in several instances a certain grade individual is transferred to do a specific job (e.g., GS-13) and then the slot is reduced to GS-12 or GS-11. This results in a reduced headroom at the higher grade level since it would not be practical to remove the individual from the position until his tour is completed, particularly if he happens to be overseas.

25X9A2

25X9A2

The size and composition of the SF Career Service, approximately as compared with the size and composition of the Office of Finance T/O, requires frequent rotation of most SF employees in the GS-7 through GS-14 grades. The selection and placement of employees in particular jobs in connection with rotations must be a competitive process if promotions are to be competitive. Therefore, great emphasis and attention is given to the selection of individuals for rotation. The following steps are taken in connection with each rotation:

a. A determination of the skills, qualifications and experience required for the particular position.

- b. A review of the employees in the grade of position, and the next lower grade, who possess the necessary qualifications and flexibility for the position.
- c. A review of each employee's standing on the competitive roster, and a narrowing down of the candidates for the position.
- d. Consideration as to whether the position affords an opportunity for the employee for growth and the acquisition of additional skills and experience.
- e. Review and consultation with Area Divisions or others concerned and selection of the final candidate for the position.

Available headroom in a particular grade has a distinct bearing on this selection and rotation process. For example, if the position in question is a GS-13 and there were no headroom in GS-13's it would be necessary to consider only GS-13's for the position, although there might be a number of highly capable GS-12's who deserve consideration. Conversely, we occasionally must place a GS-12 in a GS-13 position because qualified GS-13's are unavailable, (because they are in process of rotation, home leave, training, etc.), at the proper time to fill the position. Both sides of this situation present a problem. On the one hand, an individual is denied the opportunity to utilize his talents and capabilities fully and feels that he is being denied full opportunity for development. On the other side his talents are being utilized, but he is denied promotion, and may feel that he is being exploited.

SPECIAL REVIEW GS-13

Deserves Promotion But is Blocked	Age	Developing and Will Warrant a Promotion Later	Age	Good Employee at This Grade	Age	<u>Marginal</u>	<u>Age</u>
1 3 2 2 1 4 2 1 3 2 1 2	38 39 40 41 42 43 46 47 50 51 52 53 56	1 2 3 3 1 3 2 1 1	37 38 39 40 43 44 45 47 50 54	3 1 1 1 2 1 2 1 1 1 1	41 42 44 45 49 51 52 53 55 59 60 61	1 1 2-	55 60
No. of e Curre No. of e Total No Estim	mployees nt block mployees . warran ated Hea	l March 1967 deserving promotion age developing who will ting promotion by 19 droom as of 1970 employees blocked fr	warrant 1970		+5 <u>25</u>	20 20 40 +8 32	

SPECIAL REVIEW GS-12

Deserves Promotion But is Blocked	<u>Age</u>	Developing and Will Warrant a Promotion Later	Age	Good Employee at	Age	<u>Marginal</u>	Age
1 2 4 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	35 36 39 41 42 43 49 51 52 53	1 1 1 5 5 3 1 2 2	34 35 36 37 38 39 41 42 43 45 50	1 1 2 1 1 1 3 1	43 48 51 52 53 54 55 56 58 59	1 1 1 	44 46 62
No. of e Curre No. of e Total No	mployees nt blocks mployees . warrant	l March 1967 deserving promotion age developing who will ting promotion by 19 droom as of 1970	warrant	promotion by 1970	-3 <u>18</u>	18 <u>25</u> 43 +10	

Estimated employees blocked from promotion

SPECIAL REVIEW GS-11

Deserves Promotion But is Blocked	Age	Developing and Will Warrant a Promotion Later	Age	Good Employee at This Grade	<u>Age</u>	Marginal	<u>Ag</u> e
2 2 1 2 1 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	33 35 36 37 38 41 43 44 53 54 59	2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 2	28 30 33 34 35 37 38 39 40 41 42 51	1 1 3 2 1 1 1 2	36 39 43 44 45 49 50 51 52 58	1 1 2	41 50
No. of e	as of 3 mployees nt block	l March 1967 deserving promotion age			+5 20	15	

No. of employees deserving promotion
Current blockage

No. of employees developing who will warrant promotion by 1970

Total No. warranting promotion by 1970
Estimated Headroom as of 1970
Estimated employees blocked from promotion

15 <u>14</u> 29 +<u>15</u> 14

LE THE

SPECIAL REVIEW GS-10 and GS-09

Deserves Promotion But is Blocked	<u>Age</u>	Developing and Will Warrant A Promotion Later	Age	Good Employee at This Grade	<u>Age</u>	<u> Marginal</u>	<u>Ag</u> e
GS-10 1 3 1	- 33 36 37	GS-10 1 1	30 47	GS-10 1 1	36 44		
1 1 1	39 42 44 46	GS-09 1 1 3 1	26 29 31	GS-09 1 1 1	31 34 37		,
1	47 52 54	3 2 1	32 34 35 37 38	1 1 1 1	37 38 41 44 45		•
GS-09 3 2 1 1	31 32 40 41	1 1 1	39 40 44	3 4 1 2	46 47 48 49		
1 1 1 1	42 43 45 46	11	57	2 1 1 2 2	50 51 54 55 56		
13	50 51			1 1 1 2	57 59 60 61		ď
		l March 1967 deserving promotion		35	+1 25		$\P_{i_{\mathrm{ti}}}$
Curren No. of em Total No. Estima	t blocks ployees warrant ted Head	age developing who will ting promotion by 19' droom as of 1970 employees blocked fro	warrant ; 70		=2.	24 <u>19</u> 43 + <u>36</u> 7	

SPECIAL REVIEW GS-8 and GS-7

Age

31 57

Deserves Promotion But is Blocked GS-8 1 1 1 1	Age 23 25 26 27 28	Developing and Will Warrant a Promotion Later GS-7 2 3 1 1 1 1	24 25 26 27 28	Good Employee at This Grade GS-8 1 1 1	Age 52 59 60 62	Marginal GS-8 1 1
2 2 1 1 1 2 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 2 2 2 1 Headroom as of 31 March No. of employees deserv Current blockage No. of employees develo warrant promotion by 1 Total No. warranting pr	ing prom ping who 970	— 31 will	29 33 43 48	GS-7 3 1 4 3 1 2 2 1 1 1 2 3 1 3 2 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1	256 278 290 314 357 444 456 450 5555 5755 5666 6666666666666666666666	
Estimated Headroom a Estimated employe from promotion	s of 1970		+42	43		

25X9A2 Approved For Release 2001/07/12 : CIA-RDP82-00357R000600120028-7 Approved For Release 2001/07/12 : CIA-RDP82-00357R000600120028-7

	ROUTING	3 AND	RECORD	SHEET		
UBJECT: (Optional)		1 - 114 - 1				
			1 1			
ROM:	,	di one i op	EXTENSION	NO.		
Acting Chief, SS/Fir	nance	die i strong de die	0070	DATE 5 April 1967		
1211 Key		T - 18 6 18	2972	3 April 1907		
O: (Officer designation, room number, and uilding)	D	ATE	OFFICER'S	COMMENTS (Number each comment to show from with whom. Draw a line across column after each comme		
	RECEIVED	FORWARDED	INITIALS	to whom. Didn't into deleta continue and		
1. Deputy Director/Finance		115167	Lun			
1212 Key		4/5/67	MD			
2.A-DD/Personnel for Planning	APR 19	67				
and Research 512 Magazine B	ldg.	1				
3						
4.						
further for	*			:		
5.						
6.				-		
7.						
Total Maria Total Maria Total Maria Total Maria Mari						
8.				,		
9.	E .	1		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	un m					
10.						
THE STATE OF THE S						
The second of th						
12.				1		
13.	- 1	1		†		
14.				†		
				-		